

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	O.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/785,566	0/785,566 02/24/2004		Michael D. Sawyer	P1984US00	9829	
32710	7590	01/20/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
	Harbison F	PLLC	DUONG,	DUONG, HUNG V		
	mac Plaza h Fairfax S	treet, Suite 900	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	a, VA 22	•	2835			
				DATE MAILED: 01/20/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/785,566	SAWYER, MICHAEL D.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Hung v. Duong	2835				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repil f NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tile ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_ •					
	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	•					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15 and 17-27 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15, 17-27 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or is/are objected.	awn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ acc	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E		•				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat ority documents have been receive	ion No				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	Hay V: h				
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	(PTO-413) HUNG VAN DUONG ate Patent Applical MAPAGESAMINER				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-2, 7-8, 11-13, 15,17, 19-25, and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bieback et al (US Pat. 6,121,881).

Regarding claims 1-2, 7-8, 11-13 15,17, 19-25, and 27 Bieback et al disclose a system comprising: a portable computer chassis 23, 30 having an internal speaker 62; and openings 31 in the chassis 23, 30 from which sound from the speaker 62 can emanate, wherein the openings allow heat generated by the system to escape wherein the internal speaker 62 is located at least a minimum distance away from the openings, separate air intake vents located on the chassis 23, 30. A portable computer system comprising: a portable computer chassis 23, 30 having an internal speaker 62; a heat generating device 63 disposed within the chassis 23, 30; a first opening in the chassis spaced apart from the internal speaker, wherein the opening facilitates emanation of sound outside the computer chassis 23, 30, and wherein the first opening further facilitates flow of air between the internal speaker 62 and the first opening; and a

Art Unit: 2835

second opening in the chassis 23, 30 positioned to facilitate airflow between the second opening along a path past heat generated by the heat generating device 63 within the chassis 23, 30 and the first opening to remove heat from within the computer chassis 23, 30 wherein the first opening comprises a grill 31.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3-6, 10, 14, 18, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bieback et al (US Pat. 6,121,881) in view of Homer et al (US Pat. 6,671,171).

Regarding claims 3-6, 10 18, Bieback et al disclose all the subject matter of the claimed invention except for two internal speakers and openings proximate to each other wherein the openings are located on a front surface of the portable computer. However Hormer et al disclose two internal speakers and openings proximate to each other wherein the openings are located on a front surface of the portable computer (see figure 1). Therefore, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify two internal speakers and openings proximate to each other wherein the openings are

located on a front surface of the portable computer of Hormer into Bieback et al's computer system in order to improve the sound system.

Regarding claim 14, Bieback et al disclose a third opening and further speaker positioned proximate the third opening to promote airflow between the third opening and further speaker (see figure 14). Therefore, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify a third opening and further speaker positioned proximate the third opening to promote airflow between the third opening and further speaker of Hormer into Bieback et al's computer system in order to improve the sound system.

Claims 9,26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bieback et al (US Pat. 6,121,881) in view of Liu (US Pat. 5,448,495).

Regarding claims 9,26, Bieback et al disclose all the subject matter of the claimed invention except for ventilation fans located between the air intake vents and heat cooling components. However Liu disclose ventilation fans located between the air intake vents and heat cooling components (see column 2, lines 41+). Therefore, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify ventilation fans located between the air intake vents and heat cooling components of Liu into Bieback et al's computer system in order to cool the computer system.

Response to Amendment

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-15, 17-27 has been considered but is most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

None.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hung Duong whose telephone number is (571) 272-2041. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 8:30 to 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynn D. Feild can be reached on (571) 272-2092. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956

HVD

01/19/06.

Hung Duong

Primary Examiner.